

OBSERVATION/SUBMISSION TO PLANNING APPLICATION

Case Reference: 323761

Marie Silke
Cloondahamper
Lavally
Tuam
Galway

To: An Coimisiún Pleanála
64 Marlborough Street
Dublin 1
D01 V902

Date: 15 November 2025

Re: Observation/Submission to proposed wind energy development at Cooloo Wind Farm

Location: Cloondahamper, Cloonascragh, Elmhill, Cooloo, Lecarrow, Dangan Eighter, Lissavally, Slievegorm
- Co. Galway

Applicant: Neoen Renewables Ireland Limited

Dear Sir/Madam,

I live in Cloondahamper, my house is 1km from proposed turbine T7. I also own a plot of bogland in Cooloo bog which is 700 metres from T7. I am greatly concerned about the potential health impacts from this windfarm development, I fear the low frequency noise and shadow flicker will severely impact my health and well being. I am also very concerned about the danger to my house because of this industrial scale construction project, as my house is an older house what mitigation has the developer put in place to prevent a house over 100 years old from being damaged during such a large scale construction project. I also depend on the Barnaderg/Gortbeg group water scheme for the water supply to my home. I fear the water supply will become contaminated or interrupted by the construction of 2 turbines within the source area for the water scheme. Any impact like this will severely degrade my quality of life.

I strongly urge An Coimisiún Pleanála to reject the planning application for this wind farm because of these reasons and the reasons listed below.

Community Consultation and Engagement

The basis that the consultation was undertaken by Neoen and MKO for the Cooloo Wind Farm has failed to

meet the basic expectations of transparent and inclusive community engagement. It falls short of national guidelines and the intent of An Bord Pleanála's Strategic Infrastructure Development process.

Statutory notices were published in the Irish Examiner instead of the Tuam Herald, which most local households rely on for news.

Despite claims of consultation with local groups, key organisations such as Killrerin Community Council and Killrerin GAA, were not engaged in any meaningful way.

No public event was held in Moylough, even though seven of nine turbines are proposed there, excluding many directly affected residents.

The developer's report cites "door-to-door engagement" with only 55 homes and ten written responses is evidence of a process that reached few and failed to inform many.

The developer's continued reliance on online materials to provide information disadvantaged rural residents with poor internet access and a large number of older residents without a technical knowledge.

These shortcomings show that the consultation was administrative rather than genuine, and did not provide the community with a fair chance to participate. An Bord Pleanála should recognise these significant deficiencies when assessing the project's compliance with public engagement standards.

Planning Framework and Guidelines

The ongoing reliance on the Wind Energy Development Guidelines 2006 is increasingly inappropriate given the advancements in wind energy technology almost twenty years ago. At the time, turbines rarely exceeded 100 metres in height and produced 1–2 MW of power. In contrast, the turbines proposed in this development will reach 180 metres and generate approximately 6 MW, resulting in significantly greater impacts than those envisaged by the 2006 Guidelines.

These guidelines have repeatedly been acknowledged in the Dáil as outdated. In 2013, Deputy Micheál Martin informed then-Taoiseach Enda Kenny that the guidelines did not account for contemporary technology. In 2025, Tánaiste Simon Harris reiterated in the Dáil that the guidelines remain outdated.

Accordingly, it is unreasonable and inconsistent with principles of proper planning and sustainable development for An Coimisiún Pleanála to rely solely on the 2006 Guidelines. Any decision must be informed by current standards and technological realities.

Barnaderg Gortbeg Group Water Scheme

I use the water from Barnaderg Gortbeg Group Water Scheme as my main source of drinking water for my household. The water is of excellent quality and I am very concerned that pollution of various types such as silt, sediment and other contaminants will enter the water source, causing me and my family harm. With the location of two Turbines within the Source Protection Area (SPA) I believe the Cooloo Windfarm should not be granted permission whatsoever, especially in such a highly karstified and hydrologically sensitive area.

Right to Own/Transfer Property

Article 43.1.2 of Bunreacht na hÉireann provides that "the State accordingly guarantees to pass no law attempting to abolish the right of private ownership or the general right to transfer, bequeath, and inherit property." Granting permission for this wind farm development would effectively undermine this constitutional protection. Landowners and farmers within the affected area would face significant restrictions, as land situated near turbines would become unsuitable for residential development. This would prevent families from transferring land for the purpose of building homes for future generations, thereby eroding their practical rights of ownership and inheritance.

Furthermore, Article 43.2.1 acknowledges that the exercise of property rights must be regulated by the principles of social justice. However, this proposed development cannot be regarded as socially just. It disproportionately burdens local residents while providing little to no direct benefit to the community. Those of us living in the area would experience substantial and lasting impacts — including increased traffic and road closures during construction, ongoing noise pollution, shadow flicker, and significant visual intrusion on our landscape. In addition, there remains insufficient scientific evidence to conclusively demonstrate that large-scale wind farms pose no long-term health risks to nearby residents. In these circumstances, permitting this development would be neither fair nor consistent with the principles of social justice recognised under Article 43.

Property Devaluation

It is fair to surmise that people will not want to live near an industrial wind farm. There is growing evidence of loss of value and depreciation in the marketability of houses which are located near wind farms. The knock-on effect is that people will not move to the area or the local schools, and the community will wither. Rural Ireland still has a strong thriving support network of neighbours and community which will fundamentally be put at risk by imposing an industrial wind farm in the midst of 400 homes.

Noise

The proposed Cooloo Wind Farm should be refused planning permission, citing the Irish High Court case *Byrne & Moorhead v ABO Energy* [2025] IEHC 330, in which wind turbine noise was legally recognized as a private nuisance, leading to the permanent shutdown of turbines in County Wexford. The objection highlights that the Cooloo proposal fails to address proven low-frequency and amplitude-modulated noise impacts similar to those measured in the Wexford case, where sound levels far exceeded safe limits and caused serious disturbance to residents living over a kilometre away. The Cooloo project's reliance on outdated ETSU-style noise standards, which disregard low-frequency and tonal effects, is therefore deemed inadequate to protect public health and residential amenity.

The proposed turbines at Cooloo—significantly larger than those involved in the Wexford case—are likely to generate even stronger low-frequency noise that travels farther and fluctuates more intensely under local atmospheric conditions. This increases the risk of nuisance and potential legal liability for both developers and planning authorities. Ireland's 2006 wind energy guidelines are outdated and fail to reflect modern scientific understanding of turbine acoustics. Until revised national standards are adopted, approving large-scale wind farms under obsolete criteria would be unsafe and contrary to the public interest. Planning permission should therefore be refused due to the clear and foreseeable risk of harm to residential amenities, the inadequacy of current noise controls, and the legal precedent confirming wind turbine noise as a substantial nuisance.

Shadow Flicker

Similar to noise, shadow flicker caused by turbines would be similar to living with a faulty light bulb during the day, and at night, with flashing red lights. Residents have a right to live in their homes without unreasonable interference. The UN Convention on Human Rights guarantees that people will not be subjected to arbitrary interference to their homes or family.

Barnaderg National School

Barnaderg National School is located approximately 3.49 km from Turbine No 1.

The turbines being this close to the school will no doubt have an impact on the education of the children in Barnaderg NS. The school will suffer from noise pollution and infrasound. In addition to this, during the

construction phase and while laying cabling the roads to and from the school will be impacted by road closures, traffic, additional noise and dust. Again, all of this will impact on the children of the school.

I am also concerned that if planning permission is granted less people will be moving to or building in the area of Barnaderg. This will lead to fewer children in the community and may lead to the school losing teachers, and ultimately the school closure.

Farming

There are dairy and dry-stock farmers in Barnaderg, Cooloo and the surrounding areas, both full-time and part-time. Holdings vary in size. Many of these farmers depend on their livestock performing well in order to pay their bills. Also, those who are farming in the area enjoy the work they do, in the absence of shadow flicker, noise or visual pollution. If this development is granted their livelihoods will be impacted.

The 'Importance of Noise Hygiene in Dairy Cattle Farming – A Review' (Published November 1st of 2023 by Dimo Dimov, Toncho Penev and Ivaylo Marinov) details how vibration and noise from a milking parlour can negatively impact the milk yield and milk quality of a dairy cow. The paper also discusses how exposing animals to noise from an unfamiliar source can cause them stress.

It is also important to note that the developer has not taken into account the ways in which farmers depend on the local roads for moving cattle and for access to their land when going about their daily tasks within their farms.

Reference:

Dimov, D., Penev, T., and Marinov, I. (2023) 'Importance of Noise Hygiene in Dairy Cattle Farming – A Review'. Featured Position and Review Papers in Acoustics Science.

Available at: <https://www.mdpi.com/2624-599X/5/4/59>.

Extra construction traffic

I strongly object to this proposal due to the major disruption and safety risks it poses to our local community during the construction phase. The Traffic Management Plan fails to provide clear information on delivery schedules, routes or mitigation for abnormal turbine loads. Our rural roads are narrow, shared by farm machinery, school buses and local traffic, and cannot safely accommodate such heavy haulage without damage or obstruction. The application states that there will be approximately 14 extra return trips made by trucks carrying materials. This is vastly underestimated for a project of this size. There are no binding guarantees on road repairs, traffic management or timing of deliveries to avoid peak community use. Residents, farms and schools in Barnaderg, Cooloo and surrounding areas will face delays, dust, noise and restricted access. This plan does not adequately safeguard community safety, local livelihoods or the integrity of rural infrastructure. Permission should not proceed without full, enforceable traffic controls and local protection measures.

Climate impact

As a local farmer, I am deeply concerned that the Cooloo Wind Farm will lead to further peat drainage and the felling of productive forest land. This will increase national land-use emissions and make it harder for Ireland's agriculture and forestry sectors to stay within their climate ceilings. Under the Climate Action and Low Carbon Development Act 2021, every sector must remain within its own emission limits. Projects that raise LULUCF emissions add to future pressure on rural landowners, who may face restrictions such as mandatory rewetting or livestock reductions to make up the shortfall. This proposal benefits energy targets but harms the land sector and undermines fair burden-sharing under national climate law.

Major accidents and natural disasters

I object on the grounds that Chapter 16 of the Cooloo Wind Farm EIAR fails to provide a robust assessment of major accident and natural disaster risks.

The report's references to peat instability and raised-bog cutover are inadequate given the known susceptibility of peat landscapes to movement and sediment release during heavy rainfall or storm surge events. The EIAR's reliance on generic statements about low geological risk neglects the amplified high-wind, flood and peat-fire hazards forecast for County Galway under the local authority climate plan.

The lack of detailed modelling of flood-pathways or worst-case scenario storm events undermines the precautionary principle embedded in Irish planning law. This is a serious deficiency given the scale of the proposed development and the sensitivity of the peat landscape.

No explicit contingency or evacuation measures are detailed for the community along the grid-route corridor — a serious omission when tall turbines and infrastructure could present hazard in extreme events.

The assessment is incomplete and fails to satisfy the legislative requirements of an EIAR insofar as it must identify, describe and assess direct and indirect effects of the development on the environment and human beings.

I call on An Coimisiún Pleanála to require an independent supplementary risk assessment, specific to peat-hazard, flood-modelling and major-accident scenarios, before any decision is made on this application.

References:

- Galway County Council (2024) Local Authority Climate Action Plan 2024-2029
- Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) (2022) Guidelines on the Information to be Contained in Environmental Impact Assessment Reports (EIAR)
- European Commission (2024) Environmental Impact Assessment: Overview of EU Rules

Broadband Impact

Given the number currently working from home now, strong broadband is a necessity. There are concerns that the signal, and therefore working from home capabilities, will be negatively affected by this proposed windfarm. This is due to the fact that the windfarm is situated exactly within line of sight to the mast. It is unacceptable that broadband signal and mobile phone services utilizing this mast will be degraded, and potentially to such a degree that it will be unusable. This may be worsened by the width of the wind turbine needed to support the weight, and the blades which can create periodic drops in signal level and variable amounts of reflection.

Project Splitting

The proposed development of Cooloo Wind Farm, which comprises up to 9 turbines also includes planned ancillary infrastructure such as a battery energy storage system (BESS), substation, and grid connection.

However, the developer has noted in planning documents that they are seeking planning permission first for the turbines alone, with a separate planning application to follow later for the substation, BESS, and grid connection. Such a strategy constitutes project splitting, which undermines a comprehensive assessment of the full environmental, social, and infrastructural impacts of the proposal.

These components are not separate or optional: they are functionally and operationally interdependent. The turbine generation, energy storage, and grid export cannot meaningfully exist in isolation. Therefore, the entire Cooloo project must—and legally should—be reviewed as one integrated development.

This approach is not merely procedural: failing to assess all elements together risks underestimating cumulative impacts (noise, ecological disturbance, landscape, grid infrastructure), and weakens public

transparency and engagement on the full scope of the development. Accordingly, planning permission should be considered for Cooloo Wind Farm as a single, unified project, not disaggregated stages.

Conclusion

In light of the serious concerns outlined above I respectfully urge An Coimisiún Pleanála to refuse permission for this development. The proposal is not compatible with the principles of proper planning or sustainable development. This proposal has also divided our community and in time, if this development is allowed to go ahead, it will destroy relationships within the community and no doubt have an impact on the population of the community.

If permission is not refused outright, I request that an oral hearing be held so that the community can have our say on the impacts of this development.

Yours Sincerely,

MARIE
SILKE

Name: Marie Silke
Date: 15 November 2025